

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_119663
Title of the Manuscript:	A systematic review of confounders effecting on Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).
Type of the Article	Systematic review

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is very important as it illustrate the different confounders effecting on sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS).</p> <p>2. Yes, suitable and concise. The title is clear, concise and to the point.</p> <p>3. Yes, sub-sections to be added and keywords to be arranged in an alphabetic order.</p> <p>4. The manuscript is well structured and adhered to the required rules for publication.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically well presented, study designs correctly described; inclusion and exclusion criteria well stipulated; data extraction well presented; results clearly explained; tables correctly illustrated with clear information ; discussion provided in a more logical flow.</p> <p>6. Good job, in-text citation correctly presented. Most of the references used are within the required years of publication. Six (6) references which are older than 10 years, highlighted in blue, to be deleted and/ or replaced.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English Language correctly utilized and presented.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>None</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Taimi Amakali-Nauseb</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Nursing &amp; Public Health, University of Namibia, Namibia</b>