

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_118189
Title of the Manuscript:	Different Management Operation for Controlling a Destructive Pest Fall armyworm (spodpetera frugiperda): A Review
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, a manuscript focusing on different management operations for controlling a destructive pest like the Fall armyworm (<i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i>) can be highly important for the scientific community, especially in the field of agriculture and pest management. The Fall armyworm is a notorious pest that poses a significant threat to various crops, including maize, rice, sorghum, and cotton, among others.</p> <p><b>No – title dose not reflect the content I suggest 2 title in the manuscript</b></p> <p><b>No – need more details</b></p> <p><b>Could be</b></p> <p>Need more work and updated information</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Very bad	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Requires significant revisions. Upon review, it is evident that the quality of English is not up to the standard necessary for publication. The manuscript contains numerous grammatical errors, awkward phrasing, and unclear sentences, which impede the comprehension of the research presented.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ahmed Elkenawy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agricultural Research Center, Egypt</b>