

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_119868</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Development of Ready to Cook (RTC) Cold Extruded Products from Kodo millet (<i>Paspalum scorbiculatum</i>)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This article provides important information regarding the development of ready to cook (RTC) cold extruded products from Kodo millet (<i>Paspalum scrobiculatum</i>);</li> <li>- The title is adequate to the content of the article;</li> <li>- The abstract of the article is well written, adequately explains the research setting and issues;</li> <li>- The Introduction gives a suitable description of the addressed problem and it shows the significance of the research problem, but is scientifically limited. The methodology used is well described and appropriate to the purpose of the research. The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of food industry. Tables and figures are easily readable, correct and informative;</li> <li>- The work is understandable, correct and scientifically adequate. The purpose of and problems to solve in the work are clearly stated. The purpose or goal of the work is within the journal's scope;</li> <li>- The number of references is limited and some corrections need to be made. I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references.</li> </ul> <p>However, some minor corrections should be made in order to publish the article. Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles, tables, figures and text according to the requirements of the journal;</li> <li>- I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.);</li> <li>- I recommend editing the process flow chart for the development of pasta and vermicelli products with a specific program with the help of which their presentation is at an appropriate scientific level;</li> <li>- In order to enhance the significance and timeliness of this study, it is recommended that additional determinations be conducted to support the findings. These include the initial chemical composition of the pastes and a subsequent analysis after 90 days of storage to determine potential changes in the chemical composition of the pastes;</li> <li>- It is recommended that the standard deviation and statistical analysis of results be added to the results in the tables in order to enable the conclusion to be drawn on the differences found in the storage stability of nutritious pasta and vermicelli products made from kodo millet and whole wheat flour;</li> <li>- The presentation of the Conclusions is very short. I recommend that conclusions be formulated highlighting the importance of the results obtained;</li> <li>- I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references.</li> </ul> <p>Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Daniela Stoin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Life Sciences "King Michael I" from Timisoara, Romania</b>