

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119865
Title of the Manuscript:	IN-VITRO ANTIFUNGAL SUSCEPTIBILITY OF MALASSEZIA DERMATITIS IN DOGS
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>1. Importance of the manuscript to the scientific community:</b> Yes, this manuscript is important to the scientific community, especially in the fields of veterinary medicine and animal dermatology.</p> <p><b>2. Appropriateness of the title for the content:</b> The title "IN-VITRO ANTIFUNGAL SUSCEPTIBILITY OF MALASSEZIA DERMATITIS IN DOGS" is very appropriate for the article's content. It accurately reflects the main subject of the study, which is testing the susceptibility of Malassezia causing dermatitis in dogs to antifungal agents in vitro.</p> <p><b>3. Comprehensiveness of the article abstract:</b> The article abstract is somewhat comprehensive, but it could be more detailed</p> <p><b>4- Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Yes, I believe the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p><b>5- Scientific accuracy of the manuscript:</b> Overall, the manuscript appears to be scientifically reliable. However, there are aspects that could be improved in terms of scientific accuracy, such as conducting a more detailed statistical analysis and a more comprehensive comparison with results from previous studies. It is also advisable for the conclusions to be better supported by the presented data.</p> <p><b>6- Adequacy and recency of bibliographic references:</b> The number of references (17) seems appropriate for a short research article. The references include relatively recent sources, with some from 2020 and 2023. There is a good mix of classic and modern sources. However, the reference list could be enhanced by adding more recent studies, especially those published in the last three years.</p> <p><b>Please consider standardizing the references (unifying the formatting of references).</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Researchers followed standard procedures in sample collection and laboratory testing, using a reasonable number of samples and standard methods to isolate and identify Malassezia fungi. However, mentioning a control group would strengthen the study's conclusions.</p> <p>2. The data require comprehensive statistical analysis to statistically determine the efficacy of antifungal agents, assess result reliability and identify potential margins of error. Additionally, such analysis would evaluate relationships between drug efficacy and other factors like dog age or infection type.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nouichi Asma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Constantine 1 University, Algeria</b>