

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_119562
Title of the Manuscript:	HIV-HBV Co-infection Stochastic Differential Equation Model and Matlab Simulation Using Euler-Maruyama Numerical Scheme
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, manuscripts are important to the scientific community.</p> <p>This understanding will further help the policymakers in the health sector to take care of the variability and uncertainty in designing treatment and management strategies.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. Yes, the article's abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. I think the original is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. sufficient research references.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The researcher should compare with other methods in the past besides Euler-Maruyama method. • The researcher should measure the accuracy and reliability of this randomization method. • The researcher should review existing infection policies and present policies that are expected to be beneficial. • The researcher needs to check for spelling errors. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language quality of the article is suitable for academic communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patsaraporn Somboonsak
Department, University & Country	Chandrakasem Rajabhat University, Thailand