

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_119069
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Huge Exophytic leiomyosarcoma of the stomach: A care report and review of literature
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Such cases had been published in past but due rarity of the disease; it is important to publish such paper. But why are you publishing after four years of the case. Dose any follow-up regarding survival or recurrence done or not. Follow-up data regarding survival or recurrence pattern will add some potential to your study. 2. Title is good. 3. Add DOG-1 receptor as well in abstract. Keep only one word i.e. gastric tumor or stomach tumor in keywords. 4. Subsections – in introduction – kindly add details of IHC markers because to rule out gist from sarcoma minimum 2 out of 3 markers must be positive and makers of neurogenic should also be done to rule out benign tumours of neural origin Grading system of LMS to be included Case report – kindly add following points 1. Details of physical examination – size, location intraperitoneal/retroperitoneal, pulsations, any dilated veins, mobility Any history of acid peptic disease/ any H.pylori treatment 2.CECT- status of vessels in relation to mass, any evidence of metastasis, 3. upper g.i endoscopy report? – very important to endoscopy and biopsy if feasible 4. if endoscopy not done you must do a pre op biopsy, IHC, and FNCLCC grading 5. metastatic work up like C.T thorax/ PET -CT 6.intraoperative finding if any suggestive metastasis 7. IHC panel is incomplete as previously mentioned minimal 2 out of three must be positive to rule out GIST [PDGFR and DOG-1] and s-100 to rule out neurogenic tumor 8. dose the specimen tested for H. pylori other than histology 9. indication for chemotherapy?? And what chemotherapy 11. patient died in year of surgery and chemo – can you find out whether he developed metastasis, recurrence which leads to death 12. discussion and treatment – kindly put more details with reference to new published papers <p>Without any biopsy and staging work-up how you made diagnosis of gastric leiomyosarcoma and operated this patient? Indication of urgent surgery.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Lots of clinical data are missing – they must be added before accepting it for publication 6. References are adequate but more scientific information must be incorporated in introduction, discussion and treatment part 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Disease is rare and information is limited regarding gastric leiomyosarcomas. So, we should be very careful in data collection from the literatures available. You should re write this article with more information as suggested.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durgesh Kumar
Department, University & Country	Kalyan Singh Super Speciality Cancer Institute, India