

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_119348
Title of the Manuscript:	The incidence of increased BMI and metabolic syndrome in causing benign prostatic hyperplasia in young, obese males (under 40 years)
Type of the Article	REVIEW ARTICLE

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I have reviewed the article titled "The incidence of increased BMI and metabolic syndrome in causing benign prostatic hyperplasia in young, obese males (under 40 years)".</p> <p>1. The subject of the study lacks sufficient interest or originality. The topic encompasses a subject that has been extensively researched and recognized previously.</p> <p>2. The study's methodology is inadequate. Review article studies are conducted using three main methods: narrative review, systematic review, or meta-analysis. This study fails to adhere to any of the specified criteria. The literature review lacks information regarding the methodology employed, the specific time periods covered, and the selection criteria for the included studies. The article only consists of a straightforward delivery of literature.</p> <p>3. The study's conclusions encompass broad and generic information. The text lacks any specific details.</p> <p>The current study lacks significant additions to the global body of literature.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akif Erbin
Department, University & Country	Health Science University, Haseki Training and Research Hospital, Turkey