

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_119100
Title of the Manuscript:	PATHOLOGICAL GRIEF IN GERIATRIC AGE GROUP AFFECTED BY COVID-19 DEATHS: A COMMUNITY-BASED STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The presented work is motivating.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title of the manuscript is appropriate. 2. All the essential information has been given in the abstract. Keywords are also relevant. 3. All subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 4. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 5. It is suggested to add more relevant references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The language need to improve. • All figures are cited in text of the manuscript, the figures must be explained properly. • Discussion part Should be discussed with results (Images/tables/graphs) • All references are very old. 60% references should be from last 3 years publications. No reference is found from the publication year 2022, 2023 and 2024. • Summarize the research challenges and limitations observed from the previous models. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atul Garg
Department, University & Country	Chitkara University, India