

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_120020
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Rice Husk Ash on the tensile strength of Epoxy based bio-composite
Type of the Article	Journal

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Please refer to the manuscript as attach for the detail comments.</p> <p>4.</p> <p>5.</p> <p>6. The number of references is sufficient, however please make sure all the references in the list had cited in the text. The reference style also must choose only one style. Suggestion: Please add more latest references in your article (5 years back).</p> <p>*the additional comments please refer to the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Suggestion: The article should be proofread before publishing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>none</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Norani Binti Abd Karim
Department, University & Country	Politeknik Sultan Salahuddin Abdul Aziz Shah, Malaysia