

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_119519</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Optimizing Goldfish (<i>Carassius auratus</i>) Breeding and Larval Nurturing for Materialistic Aquaculture</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. It is important to know</p> <p>2. Suggested title: Optimizing Goldfish (<i>Carassius auratus</i>) Breeding and Larval Nurturing for Materialistic Aquaculture using synthetic hormones.</p> <p>3. The author should explained what chemical he measured a dose of 0.4ml/kg for females and 0.2ml/kg for males. Author find out what happens if Water quality parameters were not kept within the optimum range all over the experiment as to make the work more comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsection 3.1 and others do not depict results and discussions. The figures and tables are wrongly named; use the section number as figure 3.1, table 3.1 in that order. The figure 1 should identify male and female picture in particular.</p> <p>5.</p> <p>6. use same reference style. See reference number 2 and 3 for correction.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Christiana Nkutura</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rivers State University, Nigeria</b>