

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_119026
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro development of two Alternaria solani strains, causal agent of Alternariose in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) under the influence of Thevetia peruviana seeds extracts
Type of the Article	applied

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, this manuscript is considered important because of the importance of using a pesticide that is less toxic and more decomposable to substances in the environment, and thus quickly gets rid of it and does not accumulate in the soil or in the living environment.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive.</p> <p>4- Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>5- Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- It is preferable to update some references, especially in the discussion, to keep pace with the modernity of the manuscript, and the references for comparison with the work must be available by the years 2021-2024.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes, language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is excellent and useful for developing ideas for manufacturing pesticides.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Thaer Abdulqader Salih</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Anbar, Iraq</b>