

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_118863
Title of the Manuscript:	Revised Classification of Pteridophytes of Ethiopia and Eritrea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript addresses a gap in current research by providing new insights and data that can propel forward our understanding in the field of Pteridophytes.</p> <p>Yes. But I suggest an alternative title "Revised Taxonomic Classification of Pteridophytes in Ethiopia and Eritrea: An updated framework".</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No.</p> <p>1. Additional works on taxonomic revision in the area and region should be included and cited.</p> <p>2. DOIs should be included in the reference section wherever applicable.</p> <p>3. If government portals have been utilized, the specific link to the relevant section should be included in the references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. But some sentences need corrections because they do not clearly convey their intended meaning.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The introduction should focus more on the taxonomic revisions conducted in the area rather than emphasizing their uses and importance.</p> <p>2. The details of PPG I approach should be included in the methodology section.</p> <p>3. There are some typos in the manuscript that need to be corrected.</p> <p>4. If possible, an image of Pteridophyte phylogeny, showing the relationships among lycophyte and fern families as recognized in the PPG I classification, should be included</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Vanlalpeka
Department, University & Country	Mizoram University, India