

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JOCAMR_117847
Title of the Manuscript:	Harnessing Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare Analytics: From Diagnosis to Treatment Optimization
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, indeed it is very important for the scientific community in the data driven era.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable and very apt.</p> <p>Yes, it is concise and comprehensive.</p> <p>The authors made very well-structured sub sections to the readers.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>It is sufficient and recent. Ref no 14,15? 16/17 is not correlating with the text and authors. Kindly check.</p> <p>1, Overall, well written topic but in my humble opinion it is too long for the review article, the reader may get fatigue while reading. Also, can reduce the manuscript by avoiding the repetitions of information in every sub sections.</p> <p>2, some abbreviations like HIPPA needs to be clarified, and use abbreviations once it is mentioned instead of full forms (like AI, NLP etc,,) so that the words will come down.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, it is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>I would like to appreciate the authors for the excellent review written on this topic.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thaniagi Arasu E
Department, University & Country	Sri Padmavathi Children Heart Centre, India