

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JOCAMR_117847
Title of the Manuscript:	Harnessing Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare Analytics: From Diagnosis to Treatment Optimization
Type of the Article	Original Manuscript

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript discussing the integration of artificial intelligence and healthcare analytics is important for the scientific community. It provides valuable insights into how these technologies can revolutionize healthcare delivery, improve patient outcomes, and drive advancements in medical research. The manuscript underscores the importance of continued research and innovation in this area for the benefit of patients and healthcare systems worldwide.</p> <p>Yes, a title is suitable for an article discussing the integration of artificial intelligence and healthcare analytics</p> <p>The abstract of the manuscript effectively summarizes the key points, findings, and implications of the research work. It is a clear overview of the research topic, objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions.</p> <p>The appropriateness of the subsections and structure of a manuscript seems that it requires a kind of modification. It is better to include state-of -the-art papers as part of literature review. A well-structured manuscript typically contains recent related works.</p> <p>The manuscript provides a research work which is very important for health care analysis. So that it a good organization of research work with minor modification.</p> <p>The references in a manuscript are recent and it follows a good way of citation. A manuscript cited papers properly. From this perspective there are many references included in the paper. Overall, the references are sufficient, recent, and diverse and these can help to support the validity and reliability of the research findings presented in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of English language is very well for the scientific society. It is scholarly suitable for reading and experts will be happy with the way of using English language. English language in the paper was used with clarity and coherence; a grammar and punctuation mark is good;</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, a manuscript is good however, it is better to include additional sections such as literature review and subsections. The discussion part requires attention to make more explorable on the results of the manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Melaku Lake Tegege
Department, University & Country	Injibara University, Ethiopia