

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119194
Title of the Manuscript:	Field efficacy of certain chemicals and neem products against shoot and fruit borer, <i>Earias vittella</i> (Fabricius.) on okra in Prayagraj, U.P.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Follow the modifications in the manuscript that have been made in red color.</p> <p>2- In the Abstract, in the ninth line it is necessary to mention and clarify what observations were recorded before and after spraying?</p> <p>3- In the introduction, the sentences that talk about the importance of okra must be reduced somewhat because they are very long. That is, the first two paragraphs in this regard should be sufficient, and then talk directly about the insects and pests that attack this plant. It is also necessary to write about neem in the pest control.</p> <p>4- In the Results and Discussion, what is meant by S.Ed?? Do you mean standard error or standard deviation??</p> <p>5- In the References, the names of authors must be arranged correctly alphabetically and adhere to the journal's format in writing the references sentences.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hend Sh. Ghareeb
Department, University & Country	Plant Protection Research Institute, Giza, Egypt