

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_116437
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of the performance of an indirect forced convection solar dryer incorporating a thermal energy storage device on a granite bed for drying tomatoes
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Title: Study of the performance of an indirect forced convection solar dryer incorporating a thermal energy storage device on a granite bed for drying tomatoes</p> <p>After a thorough reading of the work, my impression is that this manuscript is very well written, it is easy to read. It also attempts to solve a problem linked to the drying of harvest products in general and the drying of tomatoes in particular. However, I have some concerns that seem to me to obscure the understanding and seriousness of this work.</p> <p>1. The equations do not obey the punctuations in the text and I do not know if this is a recommendation of the Journal Template requested</p> <p>2. No consistency in the formatting of the document, notably the section and subsection,</p> <p>3. The link between the equations is not visible. I don't see the transitions to go from one equation to another</p> <p>4. I have no visibility on the program which made it possible to draw the curves, what is the comparison between the experimental and analytical results</p> <p>5. The references are not homogeneous and insufficient, there are many works in this direction that you can find in the research bases.</p> <p>6. My opinion is that this work be published with some revisions to better improve its level and facilitate understanding.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bogning Jean Roger
Department, University & Country	University of Bamenda, Cameroon