

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_119667
Title of the Manuscript:	Titanium dioxide one dimensional nanostructures as photoanodes for dye-sensitized solar cells
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES. The paper provided context, perspectives and identified gaps and areas for further research. Above all, it provided a valuable resource for understanding the current state of knowledge in the field.</p> <p>YES.The topic is clear, concise and very specific</p> <p>YES. KEYWORDS- All the first letter words should be in CAPITAL letter. The abstract reflects the summary content of the work done.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES. The manuscript showed great levels of accuracy, objectivity, completeness, currency, relevance, organization and citation</p> <p>Subsection 3: Characterization techniques of photoanodes in DSSs - Delete Citations 51 - 59 (Not necessary). I also suggest APA referencing 7th Edition Format. The list of references at the end of the work should be in alphabetical order. No numbering.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors should proof read more. INTRODUCTION : Minor grammatical errors. Delete@ throughout the body the work . Replace with: at.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John. C. Morka
Department, University & Country	University of Delta, Nigeria