

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_118947
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of cow dung and urban waste compost in reducing the accumulation of cadmium and lead in amaranth grown in polluted soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Important to the scientific community, as it sheds light on the importance of the hazards of heavy metal pollution to soil and crop systems.</b></li> <li>2. <b>The title of the article is correct</b></li> <li>3. <b>The abstract is comprehensive</b></li> <li>4. <b>Subsections and script structure are appropriate</b></li> <li>5. <b>The manuscript is scientifically correct, but needs to be improved in accordance with the suggestions given.</b></li> <li>6. <b>The references provided are inadequate and not up-to-date and few. Therefore, I suggest updating the last 5 years and also improving the data interpretation and discussion of the research data.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The English quality of the article is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended that it be proofread by a language institute.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>The manuscript is very good, but needs to be improved according to the suggestions that have been given.</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amsar Maulana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Andalas, Indonesia</b>