

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_118791
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and factors associated with prehypertension among final year medical students of University of Kisangani, DR Congo
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for the community as it provides data on prehypertension which shows the necessity and importance of the article to prevent prehypertension to hypertension to save the community. The title of the article is suitable having clarity, specificity and relevancy. It may be improved by adding "A Cross-Sectional Study of Factors Associated with Prehypertension among Final Year Medical Students of University of Kisangani". The abstract is comprehensive having the required sections. Include more detail on the data collection methods and the tools used for measuring clinical and anthropometric data. The structure of the article is appropriate. The specific guidelines of the journal should be followed. Yes it is scientifically correct. The references provided are sufficient but need to include latest 5 years references to make it more authentic and latest. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	There are some grammatical mistakes which need to improve.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghulam Mustafa
Department, University & Country	Sarhad University of Science and Information Technology, Pakistan