

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_119436
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF INTERVENTION PROGRAMMES AND TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS FOR LIBRARY GROWTH IN PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes Public university libraries in developing countries have short of funds, Nigeria may not be exception. Funding agencies may help these universities for their development physical and technological way. Yes (ASSESSMENT OF INTERVENTION PROGRAMMES ON AND TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS FOR LIBRARY GROWTH IN PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES IN NIGERIA)</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive one.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes (No suggestions)</p> <p>Information about the different intervention programmes and their assistance to the Public Universities under study (if any) needs to be added either in introduction or as a separate point.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Cross verification of data not seen e.g. the point "My library building was built by" (Strongly agree + agree) shows TETFund 93, Needs Assessment 28, Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) 26, MacArthur Foundation 10, Bill and Melinda Gate Foundation 19, Ford Foundation 32, Carnegie Corporation 26, and Mortenson Centre 28. It depicts that more than one intervention programme helped to build one library. Is it true?</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jagdish Narharrao Kulkarni
Department, University & Country	Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, India