

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_118631
Title of the Manuscript:	Aquatic Greenery: Managing Aquatic Vegetation and Harnessing Their Potential
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it reviews the potential of harnessing aquatic vegetation for various purposes such as biofuel production, phytoremediation, carbon sequestration, and pollution control. These topics are highly relevant in the context of sustainable resource management, environmental protection, and alternative energy sources. 2. The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the broad scope of the manuscript, which discusses the multiple uses and benefits of aquatic vegetations. 3. The abstract of the article provides a comprehensive overview of the topics covered in the manuscript and effectively summarizes the key findings and implications of the research presented. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate, as they clearly delineate the different topics discussed, making it easy for readers to navigate through the document and locate relevant information. 5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, as it is based on research findings and references current literature in the field of aquatic vegetation utilization. 6. The references provided in the manuscript appear to be sufficient and recent, covering a wide range of studies and publications relevant to the topic. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language and English quality of the article seem suitable for scholarly communication. However, minor language editing and proofreading may be needed to ensure clarity and coherence of the text. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript already provides valuable insights into the diverse applications of aquatic vegetation and offers potential solutions to environmental challenges. However, if the author wants to further improve the manuscript, I recommend ensuring thorough language editing and possibly expanding on certain sections to provide more in-depth discussion, such as by highlighting the practical implications and future research directions of the findings. This could enhance the manuscript's impact and relevance to the scientific community.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunyapat Akkarajeerawat
Department, University & Country	Bangkok Christian College, Thailand