

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_119832</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Performance of different Dieffenbachia (Dieffenbachia seguine) varieties under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The scientific value of the manuscript is very low, considering that the manuscript has many flaws</p> <p>3. The abstract has no background regarding the importance of the study. In the abstract the study objectives/aims are missing.</p> <p>4. In the keywords "rooted cuttings" are included, but it doesn't exist in the text.</p> <p>5. At the end of the Introduction section, you must explain the aim of the study and the possible outcomes that your study can reveal. I think that the use of only 2 references for the introduction is too little (unacceptable). The Introduction section must be significantly improved. In the M&amp;M section, several information is missing. As such you must provide information regarding: the growing conditions from shade net house during the study; no of plants/variety, used for measurements; the provenance/origin of the studied plants; what were the measurements and how they were carried out; what statistical test (LSD, Duncan, Tukey, ..) was used for comparison of varieties means. The article content is very briefly, given that it has only one table with results!!! In the Table 1 you must use letters in order to express the significance of differences between varieties.</p> <p>6. The references must be improved.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Moderate editing/improvement of English language are necessary.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<b>I consider that the manuscript needs substantial revisions/improvements before it can be considered for publication.</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adriana Ciulca</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Life Sciences, Romania</b>