

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_119117
Title of the Manuscript:	Abiotic Factors: A Game Changer in Sex Ratio Distortion of Insects
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Research information about "Abiotic Factors A Game Changer in Sex Ratio Distortion of Insects" is useful in the field of entomology because the results of this research are beneficial for the conservation and management of insects. The title does not need a colon. According to Hartley (2007), use a colon in the title if the number of words is more than 17 words. The abstract is incomplete; the author does not explain the methods, research results and conclusions. The structure of the manuscript only consists of descriptions and information about abiotic factors that influence the sex ratio of insects. The introduction does not explain the problem and solution. This manuscript is not complete as a scientific work, because the author has not explained the methods used in reviewing articles. The number of references is sufficient but many still use old years, and the journal names are still not italicized <p>Suggestion of additional references:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> JR Garnas, KE Vann, BP Hurley, 2020, Biotic and abiotic effects on density, body size, sex ratio, and survival in immature stages of the European woodwasp, <i>Sirex noctilio</i>, <i>Ecology and Evolution</i>, 10:13752–13766, Wiley Online Library EC Bondy and MS Hunter, 2019, Sex ratios in the haplodiploid herbivores, Aleyrodidae and Thysanoptera: a review and tools for study, <i>Advances in Insect Physiology</i>, Elsevier KI Singh, KL Devi, CN Singh, and KJ Singh, 2021, Effect of abiotic factors with productivity link parameters of lac insect, <i>Kerria manipurensis</i> in Manipur, <i>J. Appl. Zool</i>, 32(2): 172-179 <p>Additional comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Conclude what abiotic factors influence the sex ratio. of insects. Which abiotic factors have the greatest influence on the sex ratio of insects.? what is the contribution of this research to science. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The quality of articles in language/English is good for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In this article, the term model is used inconsistently, so to make it better, the author needs to revise the abstract, introduction, methods, results and discussion, conclusions and references.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imas Cintamulya
Department, University & Country	University of PGRI, Indonesia