

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_118727
Title of the Manuscript:	Finite-time synchronization of fractional-order quaternion-valued neural networks under aperiodically intermittent control: A non-separation method
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript titled "Finite-time synchronization of fractional-order quaternion-valued neural networks under aperiodically intermittent control: A non-separation method" appears to cover a novel and complex topic that is relevant to several advanced fields within the scientific community. If the research is rigorous and well-validated, it has the potential to be an important contribution, offering new insights and methods that could influence future studies and applications in neural network synchronization and control systems.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of article is appropriate</p> <p>3. Abstract is clear</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure are well tuned</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. References are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The quality of the article is fine. No any Revisions are required according to my review	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Article is good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Lokanath Reddy
Department, University & Country	Sai Rajeshwari Institute of Technology, India