

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_OR_110813</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Isolated unilateral temporal coloboma of the lens</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This case report describes a patient with a visual impairment for 2 years who has now been diagnosed for the first time with a rare, isolated temporal lens coloboma. The previously known theories of pathogenesis are well discussed. This work is a very good addition to the current literature.</p> <p>2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes</p> <p>What is not clear from the text is why the patient has a progressive visual loss over 2 years. It would be expected that the refraction has been like this for some time and explains the amblyopia. Perhaps you can briefly comment on whether the patient has also worn glasses beforehand and/or whether the progression can be explained. That the patient has no systemic changes or even genetic defects is presumably assumed from the medical history, or were tests actually carried out? Perhaps you could briefly mention this if it was only an anamnestic statement.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Annekatriin Rickmann</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Germany</b>