

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111374
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of nutritional content of grafted moringa Vs rootstocks and
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. It contributes to the contents of Ca, K, Fe, Rel C:N and N in the moringa plant, it is an important contribution in Moringa leaves, it is not indicated whether the purpose is for human consumption or livestock feeding.</p> <p>2. It is appropriate, but the scientific name of moringa must be indicated.</p> <p>3. The abstract is not understandable, it is confusing, it must be clear, concise, concrete and correct.</p> <p>4. The introductory chapter includes a review of literature, correcting. The information reported is focused on vegetables, what relationship do grafts of moringa, which is a shrub, have against vegetables? Clarify</p> <p>5. In introduction, the background focused on moringa grafts should be strengthened.</p> <p>6. The reference is very weak, only 4 citations are reported, two of them from 2000, one from 2003 and another from 2021. A review should be carried out to strengthen the entire document.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It's right	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	no comment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ricardo González Mateos
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Guerrero, Mexico