

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_111350
Title of the Manuscript:	THE LEVEL OF DISASTER PREPAREDNESS IN PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN NAIROBI COUNTY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is useful for the research community those who work on disaster management plans.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>I suggest the authors to incorporate some of the numerical values from the results and discussion section in the abstract. Yes.</p> <p>Yes, Scientifically correct.</p> <p>Authors should add some more recent references.</p> <p>Conclusions seem to be generic. Conclusion section should be provided with some of the statistical data from the results and discussion section.</p> <p>At the end of the introduction section, I suggest the authors to incorporate a paragraph explaining the novelty of the study.</p> <p>In results and discussion section, In Figure 2 , 70% and 30% are mentioned but in the text different values with decimal places are mentioned. I suggest the authors to correct the values either in the text or in the Figure. Similarly in Figure 3 also.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes it is suitable but it can be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors are suggested to provide the templates of the documents used in the study as Appendix. If it is possible.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagamalleswara Rao K
Department, University & Country	School of Chemical Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology, India