

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_111365</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of integrated nitrogen management on growth and yield of beet root (Beta vulgaris L.) var. Crimson Globe</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The article has important scientific and practical significance. Research results can be implemented in production. The database of the influence of different fertilization systems on the growth and productivity of beetroot plants can be used for the formation of fertilization systems for other agricultural plants.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is optimal</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is correct. Note: the composition and functional properties of the "Anubhav Bio NPK consortium" preparation should be described in the "Materials and methods" section.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. 40% of references are outdated (older than 10 years)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Oleksandr Kuts</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The Institute of Vegetable and Melon growing of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine</b>