

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110945
Title of the Manuscript:	Partheniumhysterophorus L.: An Overview of Management and Beneficial Aspects
Type of the Article	Review article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it's an article that tackles a useful topic for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the proposed article is closely related to the content of the manuscript.</p> <p>3. No, the abstract of the proposed article is not understandable. The proposed abstract lacks coherence in terms of the study objective, the methodology used and the key results obtained. The abstract should be revised.</p> <p>4. Acceptable</p> <p>5. After correction the article will be scientifically acceptable to the limit.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient, but about 15 recent bibliographic references (2021, 2022, 2023) are provided out of the 135 references used. It is desirable to have more than this number for review articles.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Acceptable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There is a total absence of graphs and statistical data in the manuscript. Graphs and statistical data better illustrate the results of scientific research. I strongly recommend that the authors insert graphs to better explain certain figures.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bouraima Safiou
Department, University & Country	Université d'Abomey, Bénin