

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112373
Title of the Manuscript:	Spillover Effects of Covid-19 Induced Lockdown on Onion Prices in India
Type of the Article	Original research papers

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The topic of the research paper is of great and increasing importance within the interests of modern scientific research, the researcher used statistical and predictive methods to find out the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on onion price fluctuations in a densely populated country, where the change in prices left its effects on producers (farmers) on the one hand and consumers on the other hand.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract was presented in a good and understandable scientific manner, as it explained the results, but the research goal was not clearly and accurately stated, as well as the recommendations.</p> <p>4. The subsections and the structure of the manuscript have been well and appropriately drafted.</p> <p>5. The manuscript structure consists of an Abstract, Introduction, Presentation of the Case, Discussion, Conclusion, and References plus figures and tables, However, the introduction to the research did not contain a complete methodology, as the research objective and problem were not addressed.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and up-to-date, and I suggest taking the following source (<a href="https://www.atlantis-press.com/proceedings/icemt-20/125940075">https://www.atlantis-press.com/proceedings/icemt-20/125940075</a>) for a scientific article explaining forecasting methods, the researcher used the MLR method and did not review other forecasting methods, and mentioned the characteristics of each of them, and the researcher did not indicate the reasons that prompted him to use the MLR method.</p> <p>7. Finally, all of the above-mentioned observations do not diminish the importance of the research or the effort exerted by the researcher, but rather they were received in support of it and seek to raise the scientific level of research, and therefore we recommend accepting the research with a slight amendment.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language English quality of the article is suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ahmed Abd Zaid Abdulwahid Abedi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kufa, Iraq</b>