

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_112564
Title of the Manuscript:	DIVERSE OCULAR AFFECTIONS IN BOVINES – A STUDY OF 33 CLINICAL CASES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Important.</p> <p>Title needs to be changed.</p> <p>Abstract is not written properly. Incidence of various affections and concluding line is not included in abstract. Check Udipur??? It should be Udaipur. Check grammarly for mistakes.</p> <p>Introduction is very short and do not justify the title. Modifications are must. Case presentation: Check parenthesis in first line; Modified shaeffers formula (change and tranfer to MM not in case presentation); Poor depiction of table can go for pie charts. MM: Very poor depiction of the material method; check fonts (different at different lines), for all the test the procedure need not to be explained and citation should be included. MM should be changed with proper citations. Handling and Management: Poor depiction. Result and Discussion: Too elaborative, need to be concised with major findings. Photographs: Photographs are good, but check spelling in captions (wrong in some places)</p> <p>The title of the manuscript in important for the scientific community.</p> <p>Sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No the sentence should be reframed with proper inclusion of scientific words.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pururava Sharma
Department, University & Country	Dr. GC Negi College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, India