

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_111792
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Drought Stress at Growth and Development of Pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is very relevant to the present agricultural scenario and will definitely help the scientific community.</p> <p>Yes, the Title of the manuscript is suitable</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Moderately appropriate. The subsections are felt to be less in number. Some more subsections may be added like a) Physical/Biochemical changes (if any) during the stress period, b) Role of xylem and Phloem in defense mechanism, etc. The statements mentioned in the manuscript should be justified with some tables, graphs, diagrams etc. For example, certain diagrams which explain the functioning of the defence mechanisms taking place. Moreover, concluding subsection was missing therefore, a paragraph of 5-6 lines must be added in the end to conclude the discussion and may named it as "Conclusion"</p> <p>After the suggestions and correction, the manuscript will be scientifically more correct</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent. Further references are not needed</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article was found good for scholarly communications. But, in some portions simple grammatical errors was found which have been marked and mentioned in the reviewed manuscript</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript was found suitable and may allowed for publishing only after editing the manuscript as suggested</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shourov Dutta
Department, University & Country	Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Assam Agricultural University, India