

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_112416
Title of the Manuscript:	Species Composition of Aquatic Resources in Lantebung Mangrove Ecotourism Area Makassar, Indonesia
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important as it gives basic data about the fish species present in the Lantebung Mangrove Ecotourism area. It will be very helpful for fellow researchers.</p> <p>The title seems a bit confusing. Aquatic resources portrays as if all species including aquatic plants have been accounted for, which is not the case. It only includes fish and animals. Hence, It needs a clear title.</p> <p>Eg. Alternative Title can be Composition of Aquatic fish and animal species in Lantebung Mangrove Ecotourism Area, Makassar, Indonesia.</p> <p>Or any title which specifies the intent of the work</p> <p>For data collection, which literature studies were referred to? It can be included in the data collection subheading</p> <p>In the abstract, the conclusion can be added in the end. It just states the summary.</p> <p>The conclusion is not offering a final statement or judgement based on the presented information. It is more like a summary. Either make the heading as summary or write a new conclusion.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES. Spell check can be done.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Overall it's a very productive research.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lakshmipriya Vp
Department, University & Country	Sree Narayana Guru College, India