

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111916
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and Path coefficient analysis in Bitter gourd (<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.) genotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community, particularly for Bitter gourd improvement and promotion ➤ The title of the manuscript/article is suitable and can be complement of the research work conducted on the crop (Bitter gourd) ➤ Abstract part of the paper is more of comprehensive, except background part (rationale of the study) ➤ Yes, sub-sections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate according to my observations ➤ The manuscript is scientifically correct and meaningful ➤ The references for this paper are more or less sufficient but try to incorporate recently promoted or published references to make more updated 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ For scholarly communications, the quality of English language throughout the manuscript is very good and can be understandable by any person around the scientific community 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ None 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeru Yimer Kebede
Department, University & Country	Pawe Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia