

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111938
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing Fodder Sorghum Quality: Unveiling the Impact of Varied Nitrogen Levels in Multicut Genotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript important for scientific community especially for scientific community of fodder sorghum.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable in terms of issue that have been founded in this research.</p> <p>I suggest revision in this abstract. The author needs to add additional explanation about characteristic of both fodder sorghum genotypes (COFS-29 and COFS 31).</p> <p>Yes, but the author have to parameter measured before statistical analysis in numbering form. Ex: 2.3. Observed Parameters... 2.4 Statistical Analysis</p> <p>Yes, based on the founding data in this research.</p> <p>Of the 24 references, only 6 are the most recent (research results from the last 10 years; better if the last 5 years -optional). I suggest the author adds at least 5 or 6 more recent references relevant to this topic.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I think it's already sufficient enough.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. In the conclusion in the abstract, that the highest N dose/level of 200 kg N ha⁻¹ is the highest N level that gives an effect on the forage yield indicators and the improvement of feed quality produced from feed sorghum. it means that this N level setting is not or not appropriate because what we expect is the optimal level or dose which on the curve should be a quadratic curve not a linear curve.</p> <p>2. In the reference list, the first reference, please adjust the reference writing according to the instructions and provisions of the journal.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Jamil
Department, University & Country	Indonesia