

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_112561
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate's Imprint on America's Food Lifeline: A Critical Analysis of Supply Chain Adaptations in the Face of Environmental Shifts
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, It is important to various sections including agriculture, supply chain etc</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>Abstract is not comprehensive as it has not captured key information such as purpose fo the study, methodology adopted, key findings, conclusion and recommendation as presented in the manuscript.</p> <p>Some subsections and structure can be removed especially at literature review and findings sections</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes if the author reviews and restructures the entire document</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The author has not captured the topic at the introduction/needs to contextualize to allow readers understand what he/she is talking about; • Have a clear objectives and research questions. No need to have too many explanations which are confusing; • Summarize significance of the study; • Remove statement at the end of the topic: Literature review as it doesn't make sense; • Check on the flow of paragraphs • Summarize methodology to show methods used, target group, how data is acquired and analyzed; • Check tenses; • ONLY present key findings, discuss your observation based on the findings • Conclude before recommendations and has to be based on key findings/what was observed at the findings 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abraham K. Kisang
Department, University & Country	Kenya