

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_112134
Title of the Manuscript:	An Economic Analysis in Gerbera Under Climate Controlled Polyhouse
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes</b>, the manuscript is important for scientific community, but it is too short. According to your journal, the requirements of original research paper "should not exceed 25 double-spaced pages of text (including references) and should not contain more than 15 figures/tables. We advise a length of 3000-6000 words (including everything)" and the requirement of a Short Communication "a length of 2500-3500 words, plus 2-3 figures and/or tables, and 15-20 key references".</p> <p>This paper has only 2073 words (including everything), 9 pages (double spaced), only one table, no figures, only 8 references. This paper does not even meet the requirements of a short communication, which surprises me as to how it will be considered an "original research paper."</p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b>, title is suitable, need a bit correction "An Economic Analysis in Gerbera Under Climate Controlled Polyhouse"</p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b>, abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. <b>There is only one table, no figures.</b> It is better to put this table in results and discussion section, instead of material and methods section.</p> <p>5. <b>Should add some more tables and figures to consider it as a "Original Research Article"</b></p> <p>6. <b>There are only 8 references. So please add some more recent references.</b></p> <p>There are many comments and suggestions throughout the text; the salient ones are highlighted here:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For ten different varieties of gerbera, BCR should be different. Because their yield, vase life, selling price, consumer demands, all are different. Author should consider all these important factors to calculate BCR.</li> <li>should include information on the growing season, average temperature, relative humidity, etc. in material method section.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The article's English is good, though there are minor mistakes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Comment [TN1]: Of

Comment [TN2]: a

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Taslima Ayesha Aktar Nasrin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Horticulture Research Centre, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Bangladesh</b>