

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_111984</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Implications of Climate Change on Paddy Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) Production and Asset Ownership among Farmers in Kahama District, Tanzania.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. Since climate change is now one of the major issues,</b></p> <p><b>The title of the article is suitable.</b></p> <p><b>No. Please include the results in the form of number in the abstract</b></p> <p><b>Yes. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</b></p> <p><b>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p><b>The references are sufficient and recent.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Please, the abstract should be written in a structured way and refer to the sample size and results.</p> <p>2. Modify the keywords based on the mesh</p> <p>3. The number of tables is too many.</p> <p>4. The exact type of statistical analysis method used should be mentioned in full</p> <p>5. Please mention the ethical issues along with the ethical code.</p> <p>6. A lot of work has been done, the conclusion could be written better</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Leila Vahedi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Road Traffic Injury Research Centre, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>