

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_112019
Title of the Manuscript:	Imperfect factor mobility, agricultural producer service and agricultural development in developing economy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, relating to agricultural economics</p> <p>Yes, if it is a review article</p> <p>Yes, if it is a review article</p> <p>Need to add sections as per guidelines of the Journal Need to improve</p> <p>Comment mentioned in separate sheet</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Comment mentioned in separate sheet</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript summarized the economics of agricultural producer service and agricultural development in developing economy. Overall, the research topic is interesting, and gives an overview of agricultural production, labor dynamics etc. However, before the publication of this article, the manuscript requires a substantial revision to meet the criteria of a research article. Some comments need to be addressed as given below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript title indicated that the article may be well treated as review paper rather than the research article. 2. If the author considers the article as research paper, then in the Introduction section, the author should cite and add some recent references. The author should mention the significance of their work as well as the study area where the research was carried out at the end of Introduction. 3. There is no description of study area. Author must describe study area if he/she considers the article to be a research paper. 4. Similarly, section like Results and Discussion were not described. In any research article these sections are mandatory. 5. The English writing of the manuscript can be improved further with English proofreading. 6. The reference section should be enriched by adding detail list of more number of recent references. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>7. The present version of the manuscript is not a research article. I shall consider it to be a review article. However, I will suggest elaborating each of the sections and comparing the present work with literature available. Also, should find out and describe why this article is significant and different from other review papers available in recent times</p> <p>8. The manuscript may be accepted following revision on the above recommendations.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashim Das Astapati
Department, University & Country	Gurucharan College, India