

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_109876</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Mobile Technology on Extension Service Delivery in Remote Farming Communities-A review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Certainly, this article contributes significantly to the advancement of agricultural extension services tailored for farmers in developing nations, particularly those situated in remote communities with small-scale enterprises. It offers valuable insights into potential enhancements and strategic measures that can be implemented to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of extension activities, ensuring broader outreach to encompass all farmers, irrespective of their location.</li> <li>2. While the title is intriguing and lucid, there exists a discernible discrepancy wherein the content fails to adequately underscore the substantive essence encapsulated by the title.</li> <li>3. Crafting an abstract for a review article necessitates the succinct and informative encapsulation of its core elements. Recommendations for optimizing abstracts encompass addressing aspects such as urgency, delineation of problems, articulation of objectives, delineation of methodologies employed, presentation of results, and explication of the ensuing implications.</li> <li>4. The author is encouraged to enhance the organization of the subsection writing format to ensure clarity and readability. A more meticulous arrangement will mitigate confusion for readers, and distinct delineation of subsection boundaries is imperative for improved comprehension.</li> <li>5. Indeed, a modest refinement is warranted to elevate the scientific standards of this article.</li> <li>6. Enhancement of the scholarly rigor in the review article can be achieved by judiciously employing relevant references that align closely with the research objectives and location specifications. A meticulous selection and grouping of article references, based on these criteria, will contribute significantly to a more lucid and focused depiction of the research.</li> </ol>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Elevate the linguistic precision of the preceding sentences to impart a professional tone consistent with the discourse standards expected of an expert reviewer</li> <li>2. The author is advised to articulate a well-defined research problem that underscores the significance of undertaking this study, particularly in discerning the disparities between actual and ideal conditions. It is imperative to explicitly outline the research objectives in alignment with the identified problem for enhanced clarity and precision.</li> <li>3. Elucidating the methodology employed in the selection of articles for this review is crucial for enhancing the scholarly rigor of the article. This clarification would significantly contribute to elevating the scientific standards of the review.</li> <li>4. Increasing the completeness of a manuscript means providing more explicit measurable results, presented in the form of data or percentages. This approach plays an important role in clearly depicting the real impact of using mobile technology, especially in the context of case study discussions. It is important to be able to describe highlighting its impact</li> </ol>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I have shared my comments on the remaining portion of your article in the draft. I trust that these observations will assist in enhancing the clarity of my feedback. Thank you for considering my input.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Intan Mega Maharani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brawijaya University, Indonesia</b>