

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111605
Title of the Manuscript:	Revolutionizing Guava Food Processing: A Fresh Perspective
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community and will be helpful for the researchers as well for the people those who are interested in the field of post-harvest processing of guava for the human wellbeing.</p> <p>Yes, I find it suitable.</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive enough to convey the information about the manuscript.</p> <p>Needs minor improvement which can be fixed by working on the suggestions mentioned in the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is based on the compilation of scientific findings but the credit should be given to the original work wherever applicable.</p> <p>1.*Most of the references provided in the reference section are not mentioned in the body of the manuscript which need to be properly cross checked. 2.References should be arranged following journal guidelines (style). 3. Botanical/Scientific names mentioned especially in the reference part should be italic.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>1.Manuscript can be enriched by adding recent achievements on the technology/method/product developed (if available) based on guava food processing. 2. Some steps such as guava pulp and juice production line can be arranged using generic tool (flow chart) in a sequential order for easy understanding and quality appearance of the manuscript. 3. Challenges in guava food processing and the measures/suggestion to tackle the same can be incorporated to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Safal Rai
Department, University & Country	Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India