

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111568
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Role of Robotic Automation in Climate Vulnerability Mitigation: Towards Sustainable Horticulture
Type of the Article	Descriptive

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes (Of scientific relevance worth considering)</p> <p>Yes (The abstract is clearly presented)</p> <p>No (No empirical study or research methodology)</p> <p>No (It lacks empirical verification of theoretical assertions depicted in the relevant literature) The scientific adequate method requires adopting a research method and verifying theoretical assertions</p> <p>No empirical methodological references only references to describe the theoretical findings in the literature</p> <p>The research is too simplistic. No research methodology is adopted to verify the theoretical findings in the literature. There is no reference or adoption of a DGSE modelling for empirical verification of the theory neither a theory based impact analysis is adopted such as contribution analysis or process tracking based on generative causation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The approach is too descriptive and too simplistic. There is no added value such as an empirical study using a DSEG model or a theoretical method adopted for the sake of verifying that indeed robotic automation contributes in better agricultural yields.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Miras Marzouki
Department, University & Country	FSEGT, Tunisia