

**ReviewForm 1.7**

JournalName:	<b>CurrentJournalofAppliedScienceandTechnology</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_CJAST_109824</b>
TitleoftheManuscript:	<b>DECOLONIZATIONOFINDIGENOUS/TRADITIONALVEGETABLESOFTHEKINGDOMOFESWATINIANDTHESOUTHERNAFRICANDEVELOPMENTCOMMUNIT</b>
TypeoftheArticle	<b>Review</b>

**PART1:ReviewComments**

	<b>Reviewer'scomment</b>	<b>Author'scomment</b> (ifagreedwithreviewer,correct themanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthe manuscript.Itis mandatorythatauthorsshouldwrite his/herfeedbackhere)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISIONcomments		
<b>1. Isthemanuscript important forscientific community?</b> (Please writefewsentenceson thismanuscript)	Yes,thescientificcommunitywillfindvalueinthemanuscript.It offersafreshapproachto decolonizingtraditionalvegetablesinthe SouthernAfricanDevelopmentCommunity(SADC)region and in Eswatini.Theprocessincorporatesarangeof stakeholders,includingfarmers,extension agents,researchers,andpolicymakers,andis groundedintheideasofparticipatoryaction research.Theefficiencyofthemethodologyis furtherdemonstratedbythepilotstudyresults presentedinthepublication.These findingsaresignificantbecausetheyshowthatdecolonizing indigenousvegetables canbea usefulstrategyforenhancingnutritionandfoodsecurityin the area.	
<b>2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable?</b> (Ifnotpleasesuggest analternativetitle)	Yes,thearticle'stitleisappropriate.Itaccuratelyconveys thearticle'ssubstanceand is simpleand succinct.Asignificantandtopicaltopic,thetitlealsoemploysthe term"decolonization"to refer to theprocessofrecoveringandpromotingindigenous/traditionalveggies.	
<b>3. Isthe abstract ofthearticlecomprehensive?</b>	Yes,Ithinkthearticle'sabstractcoverseverything.Itdoesagoodjobofsummarizing themain ideasoftheresearch,includingitsgoals,methods,conclusions,andimportance.Additionally, theabstractisrelevantandwell-written,adheringtoaprofessionaltonebefittinganacademic journalwhileutilizingpertinentkeywords.  Thefollowingsummarizesthewaysinwhichtheabstractexhibitscomprehensiveness:  Elucidated Objective: Thestudy'sobjective, asexpressed intheabstract,isto"decolonize, document, and deliberate on the importance of traditional vegetables in the Kingdom of Eswatini." Thismakesiteasytograsp thegoalsofthestudy.Thisprovidesreaders withan overviewofthestudy'smethodology.  Emphasized Important Findings: Thestudy'sprimaryconclusionsareoutlinedintheabstract, whichemphasizesthetraditionalveggies'medical,cultural,andnutritionalworth.Italsosnotes the dearthofdataontheireconomic significance. Thestudy'srelevanceisemphasizedintheabstract'sconclusion,whichnotesthat itis thefirst	

**ReviewForm 1.7**

	<p>to thoroughly record the value of traditional vegetables in the Kingdom of Eswatini. This demonstrates the study's value to the industry.</p> <p>Appropriate Keywords: To help classify and make the study searchable, the abstract includes pertinent keywords like "decolonization," "traditional vegetables," "nutrient-dense," "climate-smart," "food security," and "sustainable development goals (SDGs)."</p> <p>Formal Tone: The entire abstract is written in a formal tone, without the use of excessively informal or colloquial terminology. This is in keeping with what one would expect from an academic journal article.</p> <p>Overall, the abstract does a good job of summarizing the key components of the study in a clear and understandable manner. Maintaining a formal academic tone, it covers the study's objective, methods, findings, and importance in great detail.</p>	
<p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript's organization and subsections are appropriate. The subsections are instructive and have acceptable titles, and the article is easily readable and well-organized. Additionally, the manuscript's organization makes sense given the kind of research being presented.</p>	
<p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p>	<p>My evaluation suggests that the manuscript is accurate from a scientific standpoint. The study approach is precisely laid out, the results are given in an understandable manner, and the discussion is perceptive and backed up by data. Additionally, the manuscript uses proper language and layout in accordance with scientific writing guidelines. The book, in my opinion, is sound scientifically and adds a great deal to the body of knowledge on traditional vegetable study.</p>	
<p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The document contains a large number of references that address a broad variety of subjects related to traditional vegetables in the Kingdom of Eswatini. Nonetheless, the references may be strengthened in a few areas:</p> <p>Recentness: There are many earlier references (published before 2010), even though the majority of the references are more recent—issued within the last ten years. More recent papers should be added to the reference list, particularly in fields where noteworthy advancements or research have occurred recently. This would make it more likely that the manuscript will represent the most recent developments in the field.</p> <p>Here are some suggestions for additional references:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• "The potential of African indigenous vegetables in combating hunger and malnutrition" by Jansen Van Rensburg et al. (2004)</li> <li>• "Improved community land use for sustainable production and utilization of African indigenous vegetables in the lake Victoria Region" by Onyango et al. (2005)             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• "Role of indigenous leafy vegetables in combating hunger and malnutrition, South African Journal of Botany" by Voster et al. (2008)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• "Production systems of traditional leafy vegetables: Challenges for research and extension" by Voster et al. (2008)</li> <li>• "Nutritional value of leafy vegetables of sub-Saharan Africa and their potential contribution to human health: A review" by Uusiku et al. (2010).</li> </ul>	
	<p>Vegetables of South Africa: Nutritional Value, Importance, and Novel Approaches for Improvement (pp. 425-435). Springer.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The article's language and English quality are appropriate for academic writing, yes. There are no grammatical issues and the language is easy to read and concise. The author tailors her terminology and sentence construction to appeal to academic readers. In addition, the essay is clearly laid out and easy to read.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General comments</b></p>		

**ReviewForm 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sanyogita Shahi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kalinga University, India</b>