

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_109824
Title of the Manuscript:	DECOLONIZATION OF INDIGENOUS/TRADITIONAL VEGETABLES OF THE KINGDOM OF ESWATINI AND THE SOUTHERN AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT COMMUNIT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The paper is critical to the scientific community, particularly with regard to nutrition, agriculture, and sustainable development in the SADC and the Kingdom of Eswatini. It highlights the nutritional, cultural, and therapeutic significance of indigenous vegetables while decolonizing them. Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, especially those related to food security, and comprehending climate-smart agriculture are made possible by the qualitative method, which includes surveys and literature studies. • Yes, the title of the article is suitable • Yes, abstract of the article comprehensive • Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate • Yes, scientifically correct • It is sufficient but not recent very old paper 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	correct	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kumlachew Yenenh
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Defence University, Ethiopia