

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_112572</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PHYSICO-CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF CERVICAL MUCUS IN REPEAT BREEDER CROSSBRED COWS FOLLOWING CEFTIOFUR THERAPY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>There is no significant findings that correlate this study to scientific community especially when application to reproduction and infertility.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The time of sampling referring to estrus is not mention anywhere in this paper. This is crucial on the consistency of discharge as well as the physico-chemical property of the discharge.</p> <p>Not at the conclusion. The conclusion is not derived from the results.</p> <p>The References are scant and outdated</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language used in this paper have some flaws esp in the subsegment 2.4</p> <p><b>2.4 PARAMETERS TO BE STUDIED</b> Please make sure all tense should be past tense</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fuziaton Baharudin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia</b>