

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112537
Title of the Manuscript:	Religious affiliation's influence on how Veterinary students and Scientist's view bioethical concerns
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the crucial issue of bioethical concerns in the context of animal welfare, particularly focusing on the perspectives of veterinary students and scientists. The study provides valuable insights into the influence of religiousness on the perception of bioethical issues, shedding light on the need for further research in this area. The findings contribute to the ongoing discourse on animal welfare practices and ethical considerations in scientific advancements, making it a significant contribution to the scientific community. 2- The title of the article, "Religious affiliation's influence on how Veterinary students and Scientist's view bioethical concerns," is suitable as it accurately reflects the focus of the research. The study investigates the influence of religious affiliation on the perspectives of veterinary students and scientists regarding bioethical issues, aligning with the content of the manuscript. 3- Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a clear overview of the research conducted, including the purpose of the study, the methodology used, and the key findings. The abstract effectively summarizes the focus on animal bioethics, the influence of religiousness on perceptions, and the need for further research in this area. 4- Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The article is organized into clear sections, including an abstract, introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion, and a conclusion. Each section is well-defined and contributes to the overall coherence of the manuscript, allowing readers to easily navigate through the research and understand its key components. 5- Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. It presents a research study conducted at the Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, utilizing a survey to gather data on the perspectives of scientists and students regarding bioethical issues. The methodology, results, and discussion are presented in a manner consistent with scientific research standards, and the references provided support the scientific credibility of the study. 6- The references provided in the manuscript are relevant to the topic of bioethics and religious influence on perceptions of animal welfare. They include a range of sources from various disciplines, such as ethics, animal behavior, and public opinion research. While the references are sufficient for supporting the study's findings, it may be beneficial to include more recent sources to further strengthen the literature review. For example, including recent publications on religious influence on bioethical perspectives and animal welfare could enhance the manuscript's relevance to current research in the field. <p>Considering the topic of study in the field of animal ethics and the influence of religious factors on attitudes, it is possible to add new and related articles to this field, for example articles that deal with the effects of religious ethics on behaviors and attitudes, can strengthen the connection between the study and research. New help in this field. Also, adding more recent articles in the field of animal ethics and attitudes related to it can help improve the relevance of the study to current research in this field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript is well-written and effectively communicates the research findings and methodology. The language is clear and professional, which is essential for scholarly publications.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
---------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mojtaba Esmailpour Roshan
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran