

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112164
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield gap analysis for Enhancement of Rice production and productivity under rain-fed condition of Chandel, Manipur.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I have carefully reviewed your manuscript titled "Yield gap analysis for Enhancement of Rice production and productivity under rain-fed condition of Chandel, Manipur." Overall, I find your work to be well-conducted and addressing an important issue in agriculture. I appreciate the effort you have put into investigating yield gaps in rice production under rain-fed conditions, especially in the specific context of Chandel, Manipur.</p> <p>Here are some comments and suggestions for improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a more concise and clear statement of the research problem and its significance. Why is addressing the yield gap in rice production under rain-fed conditions crucial, particularly in Chandel, Manipur? 2. Methodology: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly outline the data collection methods and sources. A more detailed explanation of the chosen methods will enhance the reproducibility of your study. • Consider providing information on the sample size and characteristics of the study area. This will help readers assess the generalizability of your findings. 3. Data Analysis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a thorough explanation of the statistical methods used in the analysis. Clarify any assumptions made during the analysis and discuss the robustness of your results. • Consider presenting the results in a more visually appealing manner, such as tables or graphs, to facilitate easier interpretation. 4. Discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elaborate on the implications of your findings for rice farmers in Chandel, Manipur. How can the identified yield gaps be addressed, and what are the potential interventions? • Discuss the limitations of your study and suggest areas for future research to build upon your findings. 5. Conclusion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summarize the key findings and their relevance in addressing the research problem. Provide a clear conclusion that ties together the main points of your study. 6. Language and Style: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carefully proofread the manuscript for grammatical errors and ensure consistency in language and style throughout. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Excellent</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper should be accepted after minor revision but there is no need to send back the paper to me. The author should include all the minor revisions</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Mehran Anjum
Department, University & Country	Switzerland University of Zurich, Switzerland