

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_111908</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Emerging Threats in Cyberspace: Implications for National Security Policy</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript appears to be important for the scientific community, particularly in the field of cybersecurity and national security. Additionally, Obtaining feedback from peers and experts in the field can further strengthen the manuscript's contribution to the scientific community.</p> <p>It is only a review article in the field of emerging threats. It does not provide any solution to the problem specified.</p> <p>The article provides an examination of the current state of cyberspace, emphasizing the challenges posed by cyber threats to commercial, and governmental activities.</p> <p>Section 2 is not properly organized and written</p> <p>There are no experimental results to have scientific proof.</p> <p>The research article covered till 2021 only. A lot of research has conducted in recent years, try to include that.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Maintain consistency in terminology and formatting throughout the manuscript. This includes consistently referring to figures, tables, and other elements in the same manner.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>D. Santhadevi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>VIT, India</b>