

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_111850
Title of the Manuscript:	Electricity consumption and economic growth in Kenya: an ARDL bound test approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<p>The manuscript, titled "Electricity consumption and economic growth in Kenya: an ARDL bound test approach," holds significant importance for the scientific community. Investigating the intricate relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in Kenya using the ARDL bound test approach, the study contributes valuable insights into the dynamics of energy-economy interactions. As sustainable economic development and energy planning become increasingly critical globally, the findings of this manuscript may offer nuanced perspectives and evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, economists, and researchers working on similar issues. By addressing the specific context of Kenya, the study has the potential to provide actionable information that can inform strategies for optimizing electricity consumption and fostering economic growth in developing regions.</p>	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>The title "Electricity consumption and economic growth in Kenya: an ARDL bound test approach" is suitable, as it succinctly conveys the main focus, variables, and methodology of the study.</p>	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive and adequate. More over research scope and limitation could be mentioned.</p>	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>Authors haven't provided the specific subsections or the detailed structure of the manuscript for a direct evaluation. However, based on the information given in the abstract, it appears that the manuscript follows a logical structure, including the following components:</p> <p>1. Introduction: Presumably, the manuscript starts by introducing the purpose of the study and the relationship under investigation (economic growth and electricity consumption).</p>	

	<p>2. Literature Review: While not explicitly mentioned in the abstract, a literature review might be included to contextualize the study within existing research and theories on the relationship between economic growth and electricity consumption.</p> <p>3. Methodology: The abstract refers to the use of the ARDL bound framework, ARDL F-test, and Granger causality test. The methodology section would likely delve into detail on how these methods were applied. Results: The abstract presents key findings related to cointegration, error correction term, short-term dynamics, and Granger causality. This information suggests a dedicated section for results, where these findings are elaborated with statistical details.</p> <p>4. Discussion: The abstract does not explicitly mention a discussion section, but it may be included in the manuscript to interpret the results, discuss their implications, and relate them to existing literature.</p> <p>5. Conclusion: While not explicitly stated in the abstract, a conclusion section is expected to summarize the main findings, their significance, and potential avenues for future research.</p> <p>6.</p> <p>Suggestions for Improvement:</p> <p>1. Explicit Section Headings: Ensure that the manuscript includes clear and explicit section headings for each of the components mentioned above. This enhances readability and makes it easier for readers to navigate the content. Flow and Transition: Check that there is a logical flow and transition between sections. Each section should seamlessly lead into the next, providing a coherent narrative for the reader.</p> <p>2. Comprehensive Literature Review: If not mentioned in the abstract, make sure the manuscript includes a comprehensive literature review that sets the stage for the study and highlights the gaps or questions the research aims to address.</p> <p>3. <u>Without the specific sections or a detailed breakdown, it's challenging to provide a more specific evaluation.</u></p>	
<p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p>	<p>It's important to consider the robustness of the methodology, the appropriateness of statistical techniques, and the overall <u>coherence of the research presented in the manuscript.</u></p> <p>Please follow the opinion in ques-4</p>	
<p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, 5. reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 7.</p>	<p>Author Should follow one of the following methods for references which is matching with this Journal</p> <p>1. APA (American Psychological Association) Style:</p> <p>2. MLA (Modern Language Association) Style:</p> <p>3. Chicago Style:</p> <p>4. Harvard Style:</p> <p>5. IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers) Style:</p> <p>6. Vancouver Style:</p> <p>But s/he does not follow</p>	
<p>MinorREVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Should improve the total article.</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<p>Author should follow my earlier comments</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rokonuzzaman
Department, University & Country	University of Chittagong, Bangladesh