

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111930
Title of the Manuscript:	UNVEILING THE UREA MARKET OF THE AMERICAN CONTINENT
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is important both to the scientific community and to all those involved in field of urea trade. Using relevant data from the International Trade Centre (ITC HS Code), Research Bulletins, World Bank reports, published journals, and periodicals, the authors highlighted the best-deriving information regarding fertilizers trade to American countries from India. This Study revealed the twelve recommended countries for the export of Nano Urea from India.</li> <li>2. Sure, the title of the article is suitable.</li> <li>3. The article summary is concise and comprehensive; and presents correct information about objectives, study design, study site and duration, methodology, results, and conclusions.</li> <li>4. The subsections and logical structural chain of the manuscript are appropriate.</li> <li>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct and the obtained results and conclusions are appropriate</li> <li>6. The references are sufficient and recent. For this type of work paper, these are appropriate</li> </ol> <p>The influence of required nitrogenous fertilizers in the major crops grown (corn, rice, sugarcane, soybean, potato, cotton) climatic conditions, and land status also impact fertilizer consumption of the country. All of these things are evaluated in the work paper. A crucial factor influencing this trade is the tariff landscape. North American countries, including the United States and Canada, impose a 0% import tariff on urea, fostering smoother trade relationships. In Central America, most countries follow suit with a 0% tariff, except for El Salvador, which imposes a 5 percent tariff exclusively for Indian imports.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	I think so.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The work paper is good. Perhaps it would be better if the paper also included possible different requirements (product standards or recommendations) for imported urea in the 12 identified countries.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Petre Savescu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Craiova, Romania</b>